



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.]	
09/601,390	07/31/2000	YOSHIHIDE WAKAYAMA	MOR-221-A	2960	_	
7590 12/22/2003			EXAMINER]	
ANDREW R BASILE			HOPKINS, ROBERT A			
YOUNG & BASILE 3001 WEST BIG BEAVER ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	الحاك		
SUITE 624 TROY, MI 48084-3107			1724			
			DATE MAILED: 12/22/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ě.				<u> </u>				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	10				
		09/601,390	WAKAYAMA ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Robert A Hopkins	1724					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
THE N - Externafter: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.		•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 11,14,21,24,27,29,31,35 and 37 is/ar	e pending in the application.	•					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5)🖾	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11,14,21,24,27,29,31 and 35</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 37 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	•					
	on Papers		•					
•	The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
י ביייי			ved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
,—	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a)-(d) or (f)					
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under de e.e.e. 3 110(a) (d) 01 (l).					
•		s have been received						
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •						
	application from the International Bur see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	1).				
•	The translation of the foreign language processions that the contract is made of a claim for domesting the contract is made of a claim for domesting the contract is made of a claim for domesting the contract is made of a claim for domesting the contract is a contract in the contract is a contract in the contract in the contract is a contract in the							
Attachment	•	-						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
	100							

Application/Control Number: 09/601,390

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Note: The current office action replaces the notice of allowance mailed December 20, 2002 and the notice of allowance is hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Tanahashi et al(5514196).

Tanahasi et al teaches a semiconductor device made by processing a silicon wafer in at least one of a clean room and local clean equipment having an air filter. See MPEP 2113 regarding process by product claims. Examiner notes that claim 37 is being treated as an independent product by process claim.

Examiner notes the specification does not provide any evidence showing that the claimed semiconductor device is patentably different from a conventional silicon-based semiconductor wafer produced in an equipment where the air is cleaned by a conventional filter such as the filter disclosed by Tanahashi et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11,14,21,24,27,29,31, and 35 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/601,390

Art Unit: 1724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A Hopkins whose telephone number is 703-308-3913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00am-4:00pm, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine Copenheaver can be reached on 703-308-1261. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Robert A Hopkins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

Rah October 15, 2003